

ALKYL METAL ASYMMETRIC REDUCTION. 11. THE REACTION OF  $\alpha,\beta$ -UNSATURATED KETONES  
WITH  $\beta$ -BRANCHED TRIALKYLALUMINIUM COMPOUNDS.

Giampaolo Giacomelli, Anna Maria Caporusso, and Luciano Lardicci

*Centro di Studio del C.N.R. per le Macromolecole Stereordinate ed Otticamente Attive - Istituto  
di Chimica Organica dell'Università, 56100 Pisa, Italy.*

Summary: The reduction of a series of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketones has been studied under various experimental conditions, by using  $\beta$ -branched trialkylalanes. Asymmetric induction phenomena are observed when optically active trialkylalanes are used.

Despite an increased interest in methods for achieving regioselective addition to  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated systems,<sup>1</sup> surprisingly little is presently known about alkyl metal reductions of enones.<sup>2</sup> During recent years, we have carried out some investigations on the asymmetric reductions of dialkyl ketones by optically active aluminium trialkyls.<sup>3</sup> In this context, it appeared interesting to investigate the reaction of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketones with  $\beta$ -branched aluminium trialkyls, such as  $\text{AlBu}_3^1$  and tris(*S*)-2-methylbutyl/aluminium,<sup>4</sup> with a twofold purpose in mind: to examine the possibility of effecting regioselective 1,2- or 1,4-reductions of enones under various experimental conditions<sup>5</sup> and to further support the stereochemical picture previously proposed for the asymmetric reductions of alkyl phenyl ketones.<sup>3,4</sup>

We report here some preliminary results on the reductions of selected enones: the experiments were carried out at room temperature, in anhydrous pentane or diethyl ether as solvents, by treating the organoaluminium compound with the stoichiometric amount of the ketone. The hydrolysis was accomplished with water or a saturated  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  solution. The data obtained with  $\text{AlBu}_3^1$  are collected in Table 1.

In all the cases investigated, no significant conjugate reduction or conjugate addition to the  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated system occur, the corresponding saturated ketones being present only in traces in the reaction mixtures. However, contrary to what was observed with saturated ketones,<sup>6,7</sup> significant amounts of the 1,2-addition products (II) can be detected depending on the nature of the enone and the solvent employed. Thus, whereas in diethyl ether only the secondary allyl carbinol (I) is recovered, in pentane the 1,2-addition of the isobutyl group bound to the aluminium atom is generally competitive with the reduction, except when isophorone and pulegone are used. Moreover, in the cases investigated, an appreciable





as the cyclohexenols recovered have the absolute configuration opposite to the predicted one.

We think that this reversal of stereochemistry is not due to a change of the reaction mechanism<sup>3,4</sup> but depends mainly on steric compressions of the alkyl groups of the complexed organoaluminium compound in the six-center transition state against the hydrogens or the substituents in the 5- or 6-position of the cyclohexenone ring.

It is conceivable that cyclohexenones complexed to aluminium alkyls exist in half-chair conformations.<sup>8</sup> Close scrutiny of molecular models shows that top-side hydride transfer, leading to the (S) carbinol, would be hindered owing to the steric compressions between the ethyl group of the organoaluminium compound and the flagpole C-5 hydrogen (or methyl group in the other cases) in conformation I and the axial C-6 hydrogen in conformation II. On the other hand, bottom-side



attack would be somewhat favoured because of the minor interactions that involve only the methyl group bound to the chiral carbon atom of the organoaluminium compound. Bottom-side hydride transfer would, of course, produce the (R) carbinol. On this assumption, the extent of enantioselectivity might rise with increasing bulkiness of the cyclohexenone ring.

#### REFERENCES

1. E.C.Ashby and S.A.Noding, *J. Org. Chem.*, **44**, 4792 (1979).
2. E.H.Eschinasi, *J.Org.Chem.*, **35**, 2010 (1970).
3. G.Giacomelli, R.Menicagli, A.M.Caporusso, and L.Lardicci, *J.Org.Chem.*, **43**, 1790 (1978) and references therein.
4. G.Giacomelli, R.Menicagli, and L.Lardicci, *J.Org.Chem.*, **38**, 2370 (1973).
5. A.M.Caporusso, G.Giacomelli, and L.Lardicci, *communication to ESOC II, Stresa (Italy), June 1-5 1981*.
6. E.C.Ashby and Simon H.Yu, *J.Org.Chem.*, **35**, 1034 (1970) and references cited.
7. G.E.Heinsohn and E.C.Ashby, *J. Org.Chem.*, **38**, 4232 (1973).
8. P.Chamberlain and G.H.Whithman, *J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans.2*, 130 (1972); J.J.Barieaux, J.Gore, and J.C.Richer, *Bull.Soc.Chim.France*, 1020 (1974); C.Paris, G.Torri, L.Elegant, and M.Azzaro, *ibid.*, 1449 (1974).

(Received in UK 1 June 1981)